	Case 4:03-cv-03359-SBA	Document 588	Filed 10/14/05	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	(OAKLAND DIVISION)				
11	FATEMAH AZIZIAN, et al.)	Civil No. 3:03 C	V-03359 SBA	
12	Plaintiffs,)	CLASS ACTIO	<u>N</u>	
13	VS.)		TNG OBJECTOR KAMELA MOTION FOR AN ORDER	
14	FEDERATED DEPARTMENT STORES, INC., et al.		DIRECTING THE CLERK TO ACCEPT \$2,000.00 TO BE APPLIED TO THE		
15	Defendants.)	COURT'S AUG	GUST 9, 2005 BOND ORDER	
16)			
17 18					
19					
20	On August 9, 2005, this Court issued an Order [No. 539] determining that				
21	Objector Kamela Wilkinson was jointly and severally liable for a bond of \$42,000 under Fed.				
22	R. App. 7. The order required that the bond be posted within 14 days of its date. Objector Wilkinson sought no stay of this order. Instead, her counsel on				
23	August 19 attempted to tender to the clerk the sum of \$2,000, the portion of the bond relating				
24	to copying and service costs of appellate briefs. The clerk refused to accept anything less than				
25	the full bond amount ordered by the Court.				
26	On August 22, Objector Wilkinson filed a motion [No. 522] for an order				
27	directing the Clerk to accept \$2,000 to be applied to the Court's August 9 bond order. She did				
28	not post the required bond amount on the date mandated by that order.				
	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING OBJECTOR KAMELA WILKINSON'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK TO ACCEPT \$2,000.00 TO BE APPLIED TO THE COURT'S AUGUST 9, 2005 BOND ORDER				

| Case 4:03-cv-03359-SBA | Document 588 | Filed 10/14/05 | Page 2 of 2

1	Having reviewed the submissions of Objector Wilkinson and of class counsel				
2	[No. 569], the Court determines that no further argument on this motion is necessary. The				
3	Court's August 9 order concerning the amount and timing of payment was clear, and Objector				
4	Wilkinson and her counsel have willfully failed to comply with it. They have demonstrated				
5	no good cause for this failure. To the extent Objector Wilkinson's motion might be				
6	interpreted as an attempt to obtain reconsideration of the Court's August 9 order, she and her				
7	counsel have failed to comply yet again with Civil Local Rule 7-9.				
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Objector Wilkinson's motion for an order				
9	directing the Clerk to accept \$2,000 to be applied to the Court's August 9 bond order				
10	[No. 592] is DENIED WITH PREJUDICE.				
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
12	Dated: October 13, 2005				
13	L. A. A. A.				
14	Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong				
15	United States District Judge				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	2				

59531.1 -2-